

EXHIBIT C

Gainey McKenna & Egleston

Attorneys at Law

www.gme-law.com

440 PARK AVENUE SOUTH
5TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NY 10016
TEL: (212) 983-1300
FAX: (212) 983-0383

95 ROUTE 17 SOUTH
SUITE 310
PARAMUS, NJ 07652
TEL: (201) 225-2001
FAX: (201) 225-9002

FIRM RÉSUMÉ

I. Introduction

Gainey McKenna & Egleston (the “Firm”) is based in New York and New Jersey and litigates throughout the country in both state and federal court. Members of the Firm have been engaged in the practice of law for almost thirty years. The Firm concentrates its practice on civil litigation of all types and especially in class action litigation on behalf of investors, consumers and small businesses.

The Firm has broad experience in the following areas: Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (“ERISA”), securities, shareholder derivative, consumer fraud and other types of complex commercial and tort litigation. The Firm also has experience in federal and state minimum wage laws, overtime laws or other employment laws regulating the payment of wages and benefits to employees.

Many of the Firm’s cases involve multi-district litigation. The Firm is experienced in, and thoroughly familiar with, all aspects of complex litigation, including the underlying substantive law, the procedures recommended in the Manual for Complex Litigation and the substance and procedure of class certification.

The Firm’s approach to each case is the same. It presents an aggressive position for its clients and uses all available resources necessary to achieve the best possible outcome for its clients. In short, the Firm works hard to produce victories for its clients and takes pride in providing a high level of legal service. It also develops a strong working relationship with its clients and will do whatever it takes within the bounds of the law to get results.

The Firm was formed with the goal of combining the experience gained through practicing law at large firms with the closeness, flexibility and attention to detail that characterize many smaller firms. In essence, the Firm has designed itself to be able to handle both large and small matters, offering what we believe our clients want most: quality legal work with an emphasis on communication.

We also represent plaintiffs and defendants in a variety of complex civil and commercial litigations, including real estate and business disputes, breach of contract and commercial disputes, employment cases (discrimination, harassment, wrongful termination), insurance coverage disputes, professional malpractice (accounting, legal and medical), products liability, and personal injury lawsuits.

The Firm recently made law in the field of ERISA with its successful prosecution of an appeal to the United States Supreme Court wherein the Court struck down a “presumption of prudence” that lower courts had been using to protect the actions of fiduciaries of employer retirement plans who imprudently invested in company stock for the retirement plan. In the case, *Fifth Third Bancorp v. Dudenhoeffer*, 134 S. Ct. 2459 (2014), the Firm argued with co-counsel that the presumption was illegitimate and had no place in the ERISA statutory framework. The Supreme Court agreed.

We have also been retained strictly as trial counsel in many matters. Members of the Firm are admitted to practice in all the courts of the State of New York, New Jersey, and Connecticut as well as in the United States Supreme Court, the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, the United States District Court for the Eastern District of New York, the United States District Court of New Jersey, the United States District Court of Connecticut, the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit, Fifth Circuit, Sixth Circuit, Eighth Circuit, Ninth Circuit and Eleventh Circuit. Members of the firm have also been admitted *pro hac* vice in a number of other state and federal jurisdictions.

II. Recent Achievements

Below are just some of the cases the attorneys at the Firm have successfully prosecuted by producing a recovery for their clients and employees of 401(k) plans:

- *Dudenhoeffer, et al. v. Fifth Third Bancorp., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 08-cv-538 (S.D. Ohio) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (Recovery of \$6,000,000 in cash and structural relief to the plan);
- *Borboa, et al. v. Theodore L. Chandler, et al.*, Case No.3:13-cv-844-JAG (E.D. Va.) (counsel in ERISA Class Action) (Recovery of \$5 million for the Company’s 401(k) plan);
- *In re Wilmington Trust Corp. ERISA Litig.*, Civil Action No.: 10cv-001114-SLR (D. Del.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (Recovery of \$3 million for the Company’s 401(k) plan);

- *In re Schering-Plough Corp. Enhance ERISA Litig.*, Civil Action No.: 08-cv-1432 (D.N.J.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (recovery of \$12.25 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *In re Popular Inc. ERISA Litig.*, Master File No.: 09-cv-01552-ADC (D. P.R.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (recovery \$8.2 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *Salvato v. Zale Corp., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 06-cv-1124 (N.D. Tex.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (recovery of \$7 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *In re General Growth Properties, Inc. ERISA Litig.*, Master File No.: 08-cv-6680 (N.D. Ill.) (Co-Class Counsel for the Settlement Class in ERISA class action) (recovery of \$5.75 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *In re Comcast Corp. ERISA Litig.*, Master File No.: 08-cv-00773-HB (E.D. Pa.) (recovery of \$5 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *Morrison v. MoneyGram Int'l, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 08-cv-1121 (D. Minn.) (Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (recovery of \$4.5 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *Jennifer Taylor v. Monster Worldwide, Inc.*, Civil Action No.: 06-cv-8322 (AKH) (S.D.N.Y.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (recovery of \$4.25 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *Boyd, et al. v. Coventry Health, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 09-cv-2661 (D. Md.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA class action) (recovery \$3.6 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *In re ING Groep, N.V. ERISA Litig.*, Master File No.: 09-cv-00400 (N.D. Ga.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (recovery of \$3.5 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *Shane v. Kenneth E. Edge, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 10-cv-50089 (N.D. Ill.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (recovery of \$3.35 million for the company's 401(k) plan);

- *Thurman v. HCA, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 05-cv-01001 (M.D. Tenn.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (recovery of \$3 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *Bagley, et al., v. KB Home, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 07-cv-1754 (C.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (recovery \$3 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *Maxwell v. Radioshack Corp., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 06-cv-499 (N.D. Tex.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA class action) (recovery of \$2.4 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *Simeon v. Affiliated Computer Services, Inc. et al.*, 06-cv-1592 (N.D. Tex.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (recovery of \$1.5 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *Herrera v. Wyeth, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 08-cv-04688 (RJS) (S.D.N.Y.) (recovery of \$2 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *Douglas J. Coppess v. Healthways, Inc.*, Civil Action No.: 10-cv-00109 (M.D. Tenn.) (Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (recovery of \$1.25 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *In re Int'l Game Tech. ERISA Litig.*, Civil Action No.: 09-cv-00584 (D. Nev.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA class action) (recovery of \$500,000 for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *Jennifer Jones v. NovaStar Fin., Inc.*, Civil Action No.: 08-cv-490 (NKL) (W.D. Mo.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (recovery of \$925,000 for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *Page v. Impac Mortgage Holdings, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 07-cv-1447 (C.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (recovery of \$300,000 for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *In re MBNA Corp. ERISA Litig.*, Master Docket No.: 05-cv-429 (D. Del.) (Class Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (recovery of \$4.5 million for the company's 401(k) plan); and

- *In re Guidant Corp. ERIS Litig.*, Civil Action No.: 05-cv-1009 (S.D. Ind.) (recovery of \$7 million for the company's 401(k) plan).

III. The Firm Serving As “Lead,” “Co-Lead” or “Counsel”

The Firm has significant experience in prosecuting complex cases, including class actions under ERISA involving breach of fiduciary duty, consumer class actions, securities fraud class actions, derivative cases and transactional matters. By way of example, the following are some of the other cases the Firm has been involved in serving as “Lead or “Co-Lead” Counsel:

ERISA Class Actions

- *Dudenhoeffer v. Fifth Third Bancorp, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 08-cv-538 (S.D. Ohio) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action and appointed by the Court as Class Counsel for the Settlement Class);
- *Sheedy v. Adventist Health System Sunbelt Healthcare Corporation et al.*, 6:16-cv-01893-GAP-GJK (M.D. Fla.) (Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action);
- *Shane, et al. v. Kenneth E. Edge, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 3:10-cv-50089 9N.D. Ill.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (Recovery of \$3.35 million for the Company's 401(k) plan);
- *In re Wilmington Trust Corp. ERISA Litig.*, Civil Action No.: 10cv-001114-SLR (D. Del.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action) (Recovery of \$3 million for the Company's 401(k) plan);
- *Fulmer v. Scott Klein, et al.*, Civil Action: 09-cv-2354-N (N.D. Tex.) (Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action);
- *In re Pilgrims Pride Stock Investment Plan ERISA Litig.*, Civil Action: 08-cv-000472-TJW-CE (E.D. Tex.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action);
- *In re UBS ERISA Litig.*, C.A. No.: 08-cv-6696 (S.D.N.Y.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action);
- *Jones v. MEMC Electronic Materials, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 08-cv-1991 (E.D. Mo.) (*de facto* Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action);
- *Rinehart v. Lehman Brothers Holdings Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 08-cv-5598 (S.D.N.Y.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action);

- *Harris and Ramos v. Amgen, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 07-cv-5442 (C.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action);
- *Russell v. Harman Int'l Industries Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 07-cv-02212 (D. of Columbia) (*de facto* Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action);
- *Mellot v. Choicepoint, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 05-cv-1340 (N.D. Ga.) (Co-Lead Counsel in ERISA Class Action); and
- *In re Eastman Kodak ERISA Litig.*, MASTER FILE NO. 6:12-CV-06051-DGL (W.D.N.Y.) (Co-Counsel in ERISA Class Action).

Securities Class Actions

- *In re VimpelCom Ltd. Securities Litig.*, Civil Action: No.: 1:15-cv-08672 (ALC) (S.D.N.Y.) (Lead Counsel in securities fraud Class action);
- *Fogel v. Vega, et al.*, Civil Action: 1:13-cv-02282-KPF (S.D.N.Y.) (Lead Counsel in securities fraud Class Action against Wal-Mart de Mexico SAB de CV, Ernesto Vega, Scot Rank, and Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.);
- *Floridia et al v. Dolan, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 14-cv-03011 (D. Minn.) (Lead Counsel in securities fraud Class Action);
- *In re Netsol Technologies, Inc.*, Civil Action No.: 14-cv-05787 (C.D. Cal.) (Lead Counsel in securities fraud Class Action);
- *Singh v. Tri-Tech Holdings, Inc.*, Civil Action No.: 13-cv-09031 (Co-Lead Counsel in securities fraud Class Action);
- *Jason v. Junfeng Chen, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 12-cv-1041 (S.D.N.Y.) (Lead Counsel in securities fraud Class action);
- *Anderson v. Peregrine Pharmaceuticals, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 12-cv-01647 PSG (FMOx) (C.D. Cal.) (Lead Counsel in securities fraud Class Action);
- *Araj v. JML Portfolio Mgmt. Ltd., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 09-cv-00903 (M.D. Fla.) (Co-Lead Counsel in securities fraud Class Action);
- *Hanson et al, v. Frazer, LLP., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 12-cv-3166 (S.D.N.Y.) (Lead Counsel in securities fraud Class Action); and

- *Labit v. Glenn Zagoren, et al.*, 03-cv-2298; (S.D.N.Y.) (Co-Lead Counsel in securities fraud Class Action).

Anti-Trust Class Actions

- *In re: Package Seafood Products Antitrust Litig.*, Case No. 15-MD-2670 (JLS) (MDD) (S.D. Cal.) (Co-Counsel in on-going anti-trust action);
- *In re Pool Products Distribution Market Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2328 (Member of the committee in anti-trust action) (settlement obtained from several defendants); and
- *In re Keurig Green Mountain Single-Serve Coffee Antitrust Litigation*, MDL No. 2542 (Co-Counsel in on-going anti-trust action).

FLSA Actions

- *Affen v. The TJX Companies, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 14-cv-03820-CCC-JBC (D. N.J.);
- *Roberts v. The TJX Companies, Inc.*, Civil Action No.: 14-cv-00746-BJD-MCR (M.D. Fla.);
- *Sifferman v. Sterling Financial Corp.*, Civil Action No.: 13-cv-00183 (W.D. Wash.); and
- *Winfield, et al., v. Citibank, N.A.*, Case No.: 10-cv-7304 (S.D.N.Y).

Derivative Actions

- *Hamdan v. Munro, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 3:16-cv-03706-PGS (D. N.J.) (Lead Counsel in Derivative Action)
- *In re Fifth Street Finance Corp., Stockholder Litig.*, C.A. No. 12157-VCG (Chancery Delaware) (co-counsel in Derivative Action);
- *In re Provectus Biopharmaceuticals Inc. Derivative Litig.*, Case No. 3:14-cv-00372-PLR-HBG (E.D. Tenn.) (Co-Lead Counsel in Derivative Action);
- *In re Capstone Turbine Corp. Stockholder Derivative Litigation*, Master File No.: CV16-01569 (C.D. Cal.) (Co-Lead Counsel in Derivative Action);

- *Veloso v. John et al.*, Civil Action No.: 4:15-cv-04625-PJH (N.D. Cal.) (Lead Counsel in Derivative Action);
- *Ruth v. CanaVest Corp. (Nominal Defendant)*, Case No.: 2:15-cv-00481 (D. Nev.) (*de facto* lead counsel in Derivative Action);
- *McBride v. Lumber Liquidators Holdings, Inc. (Nominal Defendant)*, Case No.: CL15000453-00 (Virginia; City of Williamsburg and County of James City Circuit Court) (*de facto* lead counsel in state court Derivative Action);
- *In re Medbox, Inc., Derivative Litig.*, Civil Action No.: 15-cv-00185 (D. Nev.) (Co-Lead Counsel in Derivative Action);
- *Giebrecht v. Dickson v. Lee, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 13-cv-00697 (D. Nev.) (co-counsel in Derivative Action);
- *Brody v. David Lerner Assocs., Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 12-cv-782 (E.D.N.Y.) (co-counsel in breach of fiduciary duty action);
- *Cunningham v. Joseph Ram, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 06-cv-1529 (S.D. Cal.) (co-counsel in Derivative Action);
- *In re Endocyte, Inc., Derivative Litig.*, Civil Action No.: 14-cv-1551 (S.D. In.) (Co-Lead Counsel in Derivative Action);
- *Hapka v. Dennis Crowley, et al.*, 50-2005 CA (15th Judicial Circuit in and for Palm Beach County, Florida) (*de facto* Lead Counsel in Derivative Action);
- *Nieman v. Ira B. Lampert, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 05-cv-60574 (S.D. Fl.) (*de facto* Co-Lead Counsel in Derivative Action);
- *Riley v. Jorge Mas, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 04-cv-27000 (11th Judicial Circuit in and for Dade County, Florida) (*de facto* Lead Counsel in Derivative Action); and
- *Ramseur v. Callidus Software, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 04-cv-4419 (N.D. Cal.) (co-counsel in Derivative Action).

IV. Consumer Actions

- *Dumont v. Litton Loan Servicing, LP*, Civil Action No.: 1:12-cv-2677-ER-LMS (S.D.N.Y.) (Gainey McKenna & Egleston and Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd LLP were plaintiffs' co-lead counsel in a putative class action lawsuit filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York on behalf of thousands of homeowners in New York, New Jersey and Pennsylvania. The lawsuit alleged, among other things, that Litton Loan Servicing ("Litton") and Ocwen Loan Servicing ("Ocwen") engaged in a deceptive scheme to delay or deny permanent mortgage loan modifications through the federal Home Affordable Modification Program ("HAMP") to desperate homeowners, systematically breaching their contractual obligations to homeowners, committing deceptive trade practices, and causing significant financial harm).

- *Schroeder, et al. v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc. Bank of America, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 07-cv-1363 (PGS) (D.N.J.) (Class Counsel in nationwide class action on behalf of United States Military Service members overcharged on their mortgages in violation of the Service members' Civil Relief Act; recovery of \$5.962 million for more than 17,000 service members).

V. Attorneys

Barry J. Gainey received his bachelor's degree in 1981 from Boston University and received his J.D. in 1984 from Washington and Lee University School of Law where he was a Law Review Notes and Comments Editor and authored two published articles. Mr. Gainey was a partner at Wilson, Elser, Moskowitz, Edelman & Dicker in New York City, and the founding partner of Renzulli, Gainey & Rutherford (which later became Gainey & McKenna and now Gainey McKenna & Egleston), with offices in New York City and New Jersey. Mr. Gainey has worked on many high profile actions such as:

- *Schroeder, et al. v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc., Bank of America, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 07-cv-1363 (D.N.J.) (Appointed Class Counsel in nationwide class action on behalf of United States Military Service members with Countrywide mortgages);
- *Klyachman v. Vitamin Shoppe, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 07-cv-1528 (D.N.J.) (Appointed Class Counsel in nationwide consumer fraud case);
- *Kleck v. Bluegreen Corp.*, Civil Action No.: 09-cv-81047 (S.D. FL.) (Appointed Class Counsel with Florida firm in nationwide class action);
- *Resnik v. Lucent Technologies, Inc. et al.*, L-1230-06 (N.J.) (Appointed Co-Class Counsel in class action);
- *Alamo v. Bluegreen Corp. et al.*, L-6716-05 (N.J.) (Appointed Class Counsel in consumer fraud case); and
- *Blumer, et al. v. Acu-Gen Biolabs, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 06-cv-10359 (D. Mass) (Appointed Class Counsel in consumer fraud case).

Mr. Gainey is admitted to practice in the Federal and State Courts of New York and New Jersey. He is also a past or current member of the American Association for Justice, New Jersey Association for Justice, New York State Bar Association, American Bar Association, New York State Trial Lawyers Association, New Jersey State Bar Association, and Bergen County Bar Association.

Thomas J. McKenna received his bachelor's degree in 1981 from Boston College (*magna cum laude*) and received his J.D. in 1984 from Syracuse University College of Law (*cum laude*) where he was a Law Review Editor and a Member of the Justinian Honorary Law Society. Following law school, Mr. McKenna clerked in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana for the Honorable Veronica D. Wicker from 1984 through 1986.

Before starting his own law practice, Mr. McKenna was associated with Cahill, Gordon & Reindel ("Cahill") in New York City, practicing class actions and securities law, insurance coverage litigation and general commercial litigation. After his association with Cahill, he was an attorney at Grutman Greene & Humphrey in New York City where he concentrated on class actions and trial practice in complex commercial and tort litigation. In 1996, Mr. McKenna started his own law firm and then formed Gainey & McKenna in 1998 where he focused his practice on trials, class actions and commercial disputes. Mr. McKenna has worked on many important actions such as:

- *Allapattah Services, Inc., et al., v. Exxon Corp.*, Civil Action No.: 91-cv-0983 (S.D. Fla.) (Nationwide class action for class of Exxon service station operators against Exxon for allegedly overcharging them for gasoline, eventually settled for over \$1 billion);
- *In re Popular Inc. ERISA Litig.*, Master File: 09-cv-01552-ADC (D. P.R.) (Co-Lead Counsel) (breach of fiduciary duty case under ERISA);
- *In re Schering-Plough Corp. Enhance ERISA Litig.*, Civil Action No.: 08-cv-1432 (D.N.J.) (Co-Lead Counsel) (claim on behalf of employees and ex-employees against 401(k) fiduciaries for breaches of duty in connection with Vytarin);
- *In re General Growth Properties, Inc. ERISA Litig.*, Master File No.: 08-cv-6680 (N.D. Ill.) (Class Counsel) (breach of fiduciary duty case involving harm to retirement plan in connection with alleged risky real estate investments); and
- *Morrison v. MoneyGram Int'l, Inc., et al.*, Civil Action No.: 08-cv-1121 (D. Minn.) (Lead Counsel) (breach of fiduciary duty claims involving alleged improper investment practices).

Mr. McKenna is a member of the Bar of the State of New York and admitted to practice before the United States Supreme Court and United States District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and the United States Court of Appeals for the Second, Fifth, Sixth, Ninth and Eleventh Circuits. He has also been admitted *pro hac vice* in numerous other courts. Mr. McKenna is also a member of the Association of the Bar of the City of New York, the New York State Trial Lawyers Association, and the American Association for Justice (formerly the

American Trial Lawyers Association) and past member of the New York County Lawyers Association.

Gregory M. Egleston received his bachelor's degree in 1992 from Fordham University (*magna cum laude*), his master's degree in 1994 from Columbia University, and received his J.D. in 1997 from New York Law School. Before joining the Firm, Mr. Egleston had his own law firm and prior to that, Mr. Egleston was an attorney specializing in securities class action litigation, shareholder derivative actions, and consumer fraud litigation at a prominent Manhattan plaintiffs' class action firm. Mr. Egleston has worked on many high profile class actions such as:

- *Shane v. Kenneth E. Edge, et al.*, Civil Action No.: 10-cv-50089 (N.D. Ill.) (recovery of \$3.35 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *Mayer v. Administrative Committee of Smurfit-Stone Container Corp. Retirement Plans*, Civil Action No.: 09-cv-02984 (N.D. Ill.) (recovery of \$7.75 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *In re YRC Worldwide Inc. ERISA Litig.*, Case No.: 09-cv-02593 JWL/JPO (D. Kan.) (recovery of \$6.5 million for the company's 401(k) plan);
- *In re Beazer Homes U.S.A., Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Civil Action No.: 07-cv-725-CC (N.D. Ga.) (\$30.5 million settlement in a Securities Class Action);
- *In re Willbros Group, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Civil Action No.: 06-cv-1778 (S.D. Tex.) (\$10.5 million settlement in a Securities Class Action);
- *In re Royal Dutch/Shell Transport Sec. Litig.*, Civil Action No.: 04-cv-374 (JAP) (D.N.J.) (U.S. settlement with a minimum cash value of \$138.3 million with a potential value of more than \$180 million, in addition to a related European settlement of \$350 million);
- *In re Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, Civil Action No.: 04-cv-8144 (CM) (S.D.N.Y.) (\$400 Million settlement in a Securities Class Action); and
- *In re Lumenis Sec. Litig.*, Civil Action No.: 02-cv-1989 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$20.1 million settlement in a Securities Class Action).

Mr. Egleston was also involved in a high profile landlord/tenant action entitled *Roberts v. Tishman Speyer, L.P., et al.*, N.Y. Sup. Ct., Index No. 07600475. The core legal issue was whether landlords could permissibly deregulate and charge market rents for certain so-called "luxury" apartment units in these complexes in years in which the landlords were simultaneously receiving tax abatements from New York City known as "J-51" benefits. The Court of Appeals ruled that the New York statutory scheme prevents landlords of rent stabilized buildings from charging market rents while receiving J-51 benefits for as long as they continue to receive those tax benefits. The action recently settled for \$68.8 million.

Mr. Egleston is admitted to the Bars of the States of New York and Connecticut. He is also admitted to practice before the Bars of the federal district courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York and the District of Connecticut.

David A. Silva received his bachelor's degree in 1982 from New York University and received his J.D. in 1985 from Brooklyn Law School where he was a member of the Moot Court National Team. Between the years of 1985 and 1988, Mr. Silva worked as an Assistant Corporation Counsel in the Law Department of the City of New York. While at the Law Department, Mr. Silva represented various city agencies in Article 78 proceedings as well as defended the constitutionality of various aspects of the New York City Public Health Law, as well as the Building Code and Zoning Resolution. In addition, he was lead counsel on Federal civil rights actions defending the City and its employees.

In 1988, Mr. Silva left the City and joined Mound Cotton Wollan & Greengrass as an associate and worked there for 25 years becoming a partner in 1995 and a senior partner in 2002.

Mr. Silva has served as counsel to both insurers and reinsurers in dozens of reinsurance arbitrations and court proceedings across the United States. He has also acted as lead counsel in arbitrations in both Bermuda and England, involving some of the highest profile issues in the industry. Mr. Silva regularly advises clients on a wide range of issues including workers' compensation carve out and spiral business; life, personal accident and medical reinsurance issues; long term care reinsurance; actuarial disputes; coverage of declaratory judgment expenses; rescission claims; claims for pre-answer security; letter of credit disputes; commutation valuations; allocation of losses; contract drafting; records inspection rights, and audits. He also has substantial experience in other reinsurance-related matters, including issues involving domestic and off-shore captive reinsurers, surplus relief treaties, and many matters relating to life, accident, health, and long term care insurance. He also has substantial involvement in all aspects of property and casualty insurance litigation including first- and third-party coverage and claims defense, business interruption, products liability defense, and disputes between primary and excess carriers.

Mr. Silva has been recognized in the Chambers USA Directory, Best Lawyers in America, and Super Lawyers as a leading individual in the field of insurance and reinsurance. Mr. Silva has also served as a lecturer and panelist for various reinsurance programs, including the Reinsurance Association of America, ARIAS U.S., as well as Harris Martin and HB Litigation Conferences.

Mr. Silva is admitted to practice in the federal and state courts of New York and is a past member of the New York State Bar Association as well as the New York County Lawyers Association.